

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

Moulton Concrete, LLC

v.

Civil No. 11-cv-00597-JL

Casella Construction, Inc.

**ORDER AFTER PRELIMINARY
PRETRIAL CONFERENCE**

The Preliminary Pretrial Conference was held in chambers on
April 30, 2012.

The parties have agreed to mediation before Thomas Pappas,
Esq., (barring any conflict and assuming his willingness). The
case is stayed pending mediation. Counsel will jointly notify
the court as to the post-mediation case status no later than **July
2, 2012.**

The Discovery Plan (document no. [14](#)) is approved as
submitted, with the following changes:

- Close of discovery - **7 days before summary judgment deadline.**
- Summary judgment deadline - **120 days prior to final pretrial conference**
- Jury trial - **May, 2013**

Based on the discussions between the court and counsel at
the conference, the following are **stricken** without prejudice to
being reinstated on request if warranted by the evidence:

- the plaintiff's claim for breach of implied covenant

- the following affirmative defenses: none

Summary Judgment. The parties and counsel are advised that compliance with Rule 56(e) and Local Rule 7.2(b), regarding evidentiary support for factual assertions, and specification and delineation of material issues of disputed fact, will be required.

Discovery disputes. Discovery disputes will be handled by the undersigned judge, as opposed to the Magistrate Judge, in the normal course. No motion to compel is necessary. The party or counsel seeking discovery-related relief should confer with adverse counsel to choose mutually available dates, and then contact the Deputy Clerk to schedule a conference call with the court. The court will inform counsel and parties what written materials, if any, should be submitted in advance of the conference call.

Customary motions to compel discovery, while disfavored by the undersigned judge, are nonetheless permissible. If counsel prefer traditional discovery litigation to the conference call procedure set forth above, any such motion to compel should expressly request, in the title of the motion, a referral to the United States Magistrate Judge. Such referral requests will normally be granted. If the Magistrate Judge is recused, alternate arrangements will be made.

SO ORDERED.



Joseph N. Lapiante
United States District Judge

Dated: April 30, 2012

cc: Diane M. Puckhaber, Esq.
William S. Gannon, Esq.
Joseph A. Foster, Esq.
Geraldine L. Karonis, Esq.